

Edge Connectivity, Packing Spanning Trees, and eigenvalues of Graphs *

Cunxiang Duan, Ligong Wang[†], Xiangxiang Liu

Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Science, Northwestern Polytechnical University,
Xi'an, Shaanxi 710072, People's Republic of China.

E-mail: cxduanmath@163.com;lgwangmath@163.com;xxliumath@163.com

Abstract

Let $\tau(G)$ be the maximum number of edge-disjoint spanning trees of a graph G . A multigraph is a graph with possible multiple edges, but no loops. The multiplicity is the maximum number of edges between any pair of vertices. Motivated by a question of Seymour on the relationship between eigenvalues of a graph G and bounds of $\tau(G)$, we use adjacency quotient matrix and signless Laplacian quotient matrix to get the relationship between eigenvalues of a simple graph G and bounds of $\tau(G)$ and edge connectivity $\kappa'(G)$. And we study the relationship between eigenvalues and bounds of $\tau(G)$ and edge connectivity $\kappa'(G)$ in a multigraph G .

Key Words: eigenvalue, edge connectivity, edge-disjoint spanning trees, quotient matrix, signless Laplacian matrix

AMS Subject Classification (2016): 05C50, 05C40, 05C05.

1 Introduction

Throughout paper all graphs are finite undirected. We follow theoretic notions of Bondy and Murty[1] for graphs, unless otherwise defined. Let G be a connected graph on n vertices with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$. A graph is simple without loops and multiple edges. A multigraph is a graph with possible multiple edges, but no loops. The multiplicity is the maximum number of edges between any pair of vertices. The adjacency matrix of G is an n by n matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})$, where a_{ij} equals the number of edges between v_i and v_j for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. If G is simple, then $A(G)$ is a symmetric (0,1)-matrix. Eigenvalues of $A(G)$ are eigenvalues of G . We use $\lambda_i(G)$ to represent the i th largest eigenvalue of G . When the graph G is clear from the context, we use λ_i to denote $\lambda_i(G)$. Therefore, we always have $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Let $d(v)=d_G(v)$ denote the degree of a vertex v of G . Let $\delta = \delta(G)$ and $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ denote the minimum degree and the maximum degree of a graph G , respectively. Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of a graph G

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11171273) and the Seed Foundation of Innovation and Creation for Graduate Students in Northwestern Polytechnical University (No. Z2017190)

[†]Corresponding author.

and $D(G) = \text{diag}(d(v_1), d(v_2), \dots, d(v_n))$ be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G . The Laplacian matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix are the matrix $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ and $Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$, respectively. We use $\mu_i = \mu_i(G)$ and $q_i = q_i(G)$ to represent the i th largest eigenvalue of $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$, respectively. Therefore, we know that $\lambda_3(G)$ and $q_3(G)$ mean the third largest eigenvalue and the third largest signless Laplacian eigenvalue of G , respectively.

For a graph G , the spanning tree packing number, denoted by $\tau(G)$, is the maximum number of edge-disjoint spanning trees of a graph G . $c(G)$ denotes the number of components of G , and $\kappa'(G)$ denotes the edge connectivity of a graph G . We use $\bar{d}(G)$ to denote the average degree of G . Let $U \subseteq V(G)$, $\bar{d}(U) = \bar{d}_G(U)$ denotes the average degree of all vertices of U in G . Thus $\bar{d}(G[U])$ and $\bar{d}(U)$ are different. The former means the average degree of all vertices of the induced subgraph $G[U]$, while the latter is the average degree of all vertices of U in G . Let S and T be disjoint subsets of $V(G)$. We denote by $E(S, T)$ the set of edges each of which has one vertex in S and the other vertex in T and let $e(S, T) = |E(S, T)|$.

Seymour proposed the problem on predicting $\tau(G)$ by means of the eigenvalues of a connected graph G . Gu et al. [6] give a partial solution to Cioabă and Wong's conjecture. Gu [8] generalized the results of [6] to a multigraph G . They used the second largest eigenvalue to predicting $\tau(G)$ and $\kappa'(G)$. We prove these results by using the signless Laplacian quotient matrix. Recently, there has been a lot of activity concerning connections between the eigenvalue of a graph and edge-disjoint spanning trees $\tau(G)$, and the third largest eigenvalue in graphs [3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 20] and [11, 16], respectively. Thus, we mainly prove the relationship between the third largest eigenvalue and edge-disjoint spanning trees $\tau(G)$ and edge connectivity $\kappa'(G)$ in a simple graph G by using the adjacency quotient matrix and the signless Laplacian quotient matrix. And we investigate edge-disjoint spanning trees $\tau(G)$ and edge connectivity $\kappa'(G)$ of multigraphs from spectral perspective.

In Section 2, some known lemmas and preliminary results are given, including eigenvalue interlacing properties and quotient matrices. In Section 3, we reveal the relationship between eigenvalues and edge connectivity in a simple graph G . In Section 4, we present some theorems, mainly, including the relationship between eigenvalues and edge-disjoint spanning trees in a simple graph G . In Section 5, as corollaries, other eigenvalue conditions on edge-disjoint spanning trees $\tau(G)$ and edge connectivity $\kappa'(G)$ are presented. In Section 6, we investigate edge-disjoint spanning trees $\tau(G)$ and edge connectivity $\kappa'(G)$ of multigraphs from signless Laplacian spectral perspective. And we study other eigenvalue conditions on edge-disjoint spanning trees $\tau(G)$ and edge connectivity $\kappa'(G)$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall give some former results to be used in our arguments.

Given a partition $\pi = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t\}$ of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and a matrix M whose rows and columns are labeled with elements in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, M can be expressed as the following partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \cdots & M_{1t} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ M_{t1} & \cdots & M_{tt} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to π . The quotient matrix M_π of M with respect to π is the t by t matrix (b_{ij}) such that each entry b_{ij} is the average row sum of M_{ij} . Suppose that we partition $V(G)$ into

t nonempty subsets $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t$. We denote this partition by π . We use $\bar{d}_i$ to represent the average degree of V_i for $1 \leq i \leq t$. The adjacency quotient matrix $A_\pi(G) = A(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t)$ of G with respect to π is a t by t matrix (b_{ij}) .

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} \bar{d}_i - \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq t} \frac{e(V_i, V_j)}{|V_i|}, & \text{if } i = j, \\ \frac{e(V_i, V_j)}{|V_i|}, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

If the partition π is not specified, we often use A_t to denote the adjacency quotient matrix $A_\pi(G)$. Similarly, The signless Laplacian quotient matrix $Q_\pi(G) = Q(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t)$ of G with respect to π is a t by t matrix (c_{ij}) .

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2\bar{d}_i - \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq t} \frac{e(V_i, V_j)}{|V_i|}, & \text{if } i = j, \\ \frac{e(V_i, V_j)}{|V_i|}, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

When it is clear from the context, we often use Q_t to denote the signless Laplacian quotient matrix $Q_\pi(G)$. While M_t is a t by t square real matrix, the following is well known from line algebra [17].

$$\lambda_1(M_t) + \lambda_2(M_t) + \dots + \lambda_t(M_t) = \text{tr}(M_t).$$

Lemma 2.1. [15, 18] *Let G be a connected graph with $E(G) \neq \emptyset$, and let $k > 0$ be an integer. Then $\tau(G) \geq k$ if and only if for any $X \subseteq E(G)$, $|X| \geq k(c(G) - |X| - 1)$.*

Lemma 2.2. (Page 223 in [5]) *For any graph G , we have $2\delta(G) \leq q_1(G) \leq 2\Delta(G)$. For a connected graph G , equality holds in either place if and only if G is regular.*

Through the definition of the quotient matrix A_t , the sum of all entries in the i th row is $\bar{d}_i$. Let $\Delta_\pi = \Delta_\pi(G) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq t} \{\bar{d}_i\}$ and $\delta_\pi = \delta_\pi(G) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq t} \{\bar{d}_i\}$.

Lemma 2.3. ([6]) *Let G be a connected graph and π be a partition of $V(G)$. Then*

$$\delta_\pi \leq \lambda_1(A_\pi(G)) \leq \Delta_\pi.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a connected graph and π be a partition of $V(G)$. Then*

$$2\delta_\pi \leq q_1(Q_\pi(G)) \leq 2\Delta_\pi.$$

Proof. Let G be a connected graph and π be a partition of $V(G)$. Then $\delta_\pi \leq \bar{d}_i(D_\pi(G)) \leq \Delta_\pi$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Lemma 2.3 and the definition of the signless Laplacian matrix $Q(G)$ of G , we have $2\delta_\pi \leq q_1(Q_\pi(G)) \leq 2\Delta_\pi$. $\blacksquare$

Given two real sequences $\theta_1 \geq \theta_2 \geq \dots \geq \theta_n$ and $\eta_1 \geq \eta_2 \geq \dots \geq \eta_m$ with $n > m$, the second sequence is said to interlace the first one if $\theta_i \geq \eta_i \geq \theta_{n-m+i}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. When we say the eigenvalues of a m by m matrix B interlace the eigenvalues of an n by n matrix A , it means the non-increasing eigenvalue sequence of B interlaces that of A .

Lemma 2.5. ([2, 7, 10]) *Let G be a graph. Then the eigenvalues of any quotient matrix of G interlace the eigenvalues of G .*

Lemma 2.6. ([6]) Let G be a connected simple graph with minimum degree δ and U be a non-empty proper subset of $V(G)$. If $e(U, V \setminus U) \leq \delta - 1$, then $|U| \geq \delta + 1$.

Lemma 2.7. ([8]) Let G be a connected multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree δ , and U be a non-empty proper subset of $V(G)$. If $e(U, V \setminus U) \leq \delta - 1$, then $|U| \geq \max\{\lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil, 2\}$.

Lemma 2.8. ([19]) Let B and C be Hermitian matrices of order n , and let $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then

- (i) $\lambda_i(B) + \lambda_j(C) \leq \lambda_{i+j-n}(B + C)$ if $i + j \geq n + 1$.
- (ii) $\lambda_i(B) + \lambda_j(C) \geq \lambda_{i+j-n}(B + C)$ if $i + j \leq n + 1$.

Lemma 2.9. ([6]) Let δ , Δ , λ_2 , μ_{n-1} and q_2 be the minimum degree, maximum degree, second largest eigenvalue, second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue and second largest signless Laplacian eigenvalue of a graph G , respectively. Then

- (i) $\mu_{n-1} + \lambda_2 \leq \Delta$.
- (ii) $\delta + \lambda_2 \leq q_2$.

Lemma 2.10. Let δ , Δ , λ_3 , μ_{n-2} and q_3 be the minimum degree, maximum degree, third largest eigenvalue, third smallest Laplacian eigenvalue and third largest signless Laplacian eigenvalue of a graph G , respectively. Then

- (i) $\mu_{n-2} + \lambda_3 \leq \Delta$.
- (ii) $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$.

Proof. Let A , D , L , Q be the adjacency matrix, diagonal degree matrix, Laplacian matrix and signless Laplacian matrix of G , respectively.

(i) Since $L = D - A$, we have $D = L + A$. By Lemma 2.8 (i), we obtain $\lambda_{n-2}(L) + \lambda_3(A) \leq \lambda_1(D)$. Thus $\mu_{n-2} + \lambda_3 \leq \Delta$.

(ii) Since $Q = D + A$, by Lemma 2.8 (i), we obtain $\lambda_n(D) + \lambda_3(A) \leq \lambda_3(Q)$. Thus $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$. ■

Lemma 2.11. ([6]) If $b \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$, then $\frac{2(b-1)^2}{b(b-2)}k - \frac{3b-2}{b(b-2)} < 3k - 1$.

Lemma 2.12. ([6]) If $b' \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$, then $\frac{2b'-1}{b'-1}k - \frac{3}{b'-1} < 3k - 1$.

3 Eigenvalues and edge connectivity in graphs

In this section, we mainly study the relationship between eigenvalues and edge connectivity in a simple graph G .

The following is the relationship between the third largest eigenvalue and edge connectivity. We prove this result by using the adjacency quotient matrix of a graph.

Theorem 3.1. Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a simple graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq k$. If $\lambda_3(G) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.

Proof. We prove this theorem by contradiction and assume that $\kappa'(G) \leq k - 1$. Let $r_i = e(V_i, V \setminus V_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then there exist non-empty proper subsets $V_1, V_2, V_3 \subseteq V(G)$ such that $r_i = e(V_i, V \setminus V_i) \leq k - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. By Lemma 2.6, we have $|V_i| \geq \delta + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Let $V' = V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$. Then $V_3 \subseteq V'$, and so $|V'| \geq |V_3| \geq \delta + 1$. Let $y = e(V_1, V_2) \geq 0$. The adjacency quotient matrix of G with respect to the partition (V_1, V_2, V') is

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d}_1 - \frac{r_1}{|V_1|} & \frac{y}{|V_1|} & \frac{r_1 - y}{|V_1|} \\ \frac{y}{|V_2|} & \bar{d}_2 - \frac{r_2}{|V_2|} & \frac{r_2 - y}{|V_2|} \\ \frac{r_1 - y}{|V'|} & \frac{r_2 - y}{|V'|} & \bar{d}' - \frac{r_1 + r_2 - 2y}{|V'|} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\bar{d}'$ denotes the average degree of V' in G , and $\bar{d}_i$ denotes the average degree of V_i in G for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lambda_1(A_3) \leq \max\{\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2, \bar{d}'\}.$$

By $\text{tr}(A_3) = \lambda_1(A_3) + \lambda_2(A_3) + \lambda_3(A_3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(A_3) + \lambda_3(A_3) &= \text{tr}(A_3) - \lambda_1(A_3) \geq \bar{d}_1 + \bar{d}_2 + \bar{d}' - \frac{r_1}{|V_1|} - \frac{r_2}{|V_2|} - \frac{r_1 + r_2 - 2y}{|V'|} \\ &\quad - \max\{\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2, \bar{d}'\} \geq 2\delta - \frac{2(r_1 + r_2) - 2y}{\delta + 1} \geq 2\delta - \frac{4(k - 1)}{\delta + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $\lambda_3(A(G)) \geq \lambda_3(A_3)$. Therefore, we have

$$\lambda_3(A(G)) \geq \lambda_3(A_3) \geq 2\delta - \frac{4(k - 1)}{\delta + 1} - \lambda_2(A_3) \geq 2\delta - \frac{4(k - 1)}{\delta + 1} - \Delta,$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 3.1 that $\lambda_3(A(G)) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta+1}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\blacksquare$

By Theorem 3.1, we have the following the corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let k and d be two integers with $d \geq k \geq 2$ and G be a d -regular simple graph. If $\lambda_3(G) < d - \frac{4(k-1)}{d+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

The following is the relationship between the third largest signless Laplacian eigenvalue and edge connectivity. We prove this result by using the signless Laplacian quotient matrix of a graph.

Theorem 3.3. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a simple graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq k$. If $q_3(G) < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. We prove the theorem by contradiction and assume that $\kappa'(G) \leq k - 1$. Let $r_i = e(V_i, V \setminus V_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then there exist non-empty proper subsets $V_1, V_2, V_3 \subseteq V(G)$ such that $r_i = e(V_i, V \setminus V_i) \leq k - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. By Lemma 2.6, then we have $|V_i| \geq \delta + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Let $V' = V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$. Thus we have $V_3 \subseteq V'$, and so $|V'| \geq |V_3| \geq \delta + 1$. Let $y = e(V_1, V_2) \geq 0$. The signless Laplacian quotient matrix of G with respect to the partition (V_1, V_2, V') is

$$Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\bar{d}_1 - \frac{r_1}{|V_1|} & \frac{y}{|V_1|} & \frac{r_1 - y}{|V_1|} \\ \frac{y}{|V_2|} & 2\bar{d}_2 - \frac{r_2}{|V_2|} & \frac{r_2 - y}{|V_2|} \\ \frac{r_1 - y}{|V'|} & \frac{r_2 - y}{|V'|} & 2\bar{d}' - \frac{r_1 + r_2 - 2y}{|V'|} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\overline{d'}$ denotes the average degree of V' in G , and $\overline{d_i}$ denotes the average degree of V_i in G for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$q_1(Q_3) \leq 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\}.$$

By $tr(Q_3) = q_1(Q_3) + q_2(Q_3) + q_3(Q_3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(Q_3) + q_3(Q_3) &= tr(Q_3) - q_1(Q_3) = 2\overline{d_1} + 2\overline{d_2} + 2\overline{d'} - \frac{r_1}{|V_1|} - \frac{r_2}{|V_2|} - \frac{r_1 + r_2 - 2y}{|V'|} - q_1(Q_3) \\ &\geq 2\overline{d_1} + 2\overline{d_2} + 2\overline{d'} - \frac{2(r_1 + r_2) - 2y}{\delta + 1} - 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\} \geq 4\delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $q_3(Q(G)) \geq q_3(Q_3)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_3(Q(G)) &\geq q_3(Q_3) \geq 4\delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta + 1} - q_2(Q_3) \geq 4\delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta + 1} - 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\} \\ &\geq 4\delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta + 1} - 2\Delta, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 3.3 that $q_3(Q(G)) < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta+1}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\blacksquare$

By Theorem 3.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *Let k and d be two integers with $d \geq k \geq 2$ and G be a d -regular simple graph. If $q_3(G) < 2d - \frac{4(k-1)}{d+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

The following Theorem 3.5 has been given in Gu et al. [6]. However, we prove this result by using the signless Laplacian quotient matrix of a graph.

Theorem 3.5. ([6]) *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a simple graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq k$. If $q_2(G) < 2\delta - \frac{2(k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. We prove the theorem by contradiction and assume that $\kappa'(G) \leq k-1$. Then there exists a non-empty proper subset $V_1 \subseteq V(G)$ such that $r = e(V_1, V \setminus V_1) \leq k-1$. Let $V_2 = V \setminus V_1$. By Lemma 2.6, then we have $|V_1| \geq \delta + 1$ and $|V_2| \geq \delta + 1$. The signless Laplacian quotient matrix of G with respect to the partition (V_1, V_2) is

$$Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\overline{d_1} - \frac{r}{|V_1|} & \frac{r}{|V_1|} \\ \frac{r}{|V_2|} & 2\overline{d_2} - \frac{r}{|V_2|} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\overline{d_i}$ denotes the average degree of V_i in G for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$q_1(Q_2) \leq 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}\}.$$

By $tr(Q_2) = q_1(Q_2) + q_2(Q_2)$, we have

$$q_1(Q_2) + q_2(Q_2) = tr(Q_2) = 2\overline{d_1} + 2\overline{d_2} - \frac{r}{|V_1|} - \frac{r}{|V_2|} \geq 2\overline{d_1} + 2\overline{d_2} - \frac{2(k-1)}{\delta + 1}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $q_2(Q(G)) \geq q_2(Q_2)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(Q(G)) &\geq q_2(Q_2) \geq 2\overline{d_1} + 2\overline{d_2} - \frac{2(k-1)}{\delta + 1} - q_1(Q_2) \geq 2\overline{d_1} + 2\overline{d_2} - \frac{2(k-1)}{\delta + 1} \\ &\quad - 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}\} \geq 2\delta - \frac{2(k-1)}{\delta + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 3.5 that $q_2(Q(G)) < 2\delta - \frac{2(k-1)}{\delta+1}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\blacksquare$

By Theorem 3.5, we have the following the corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let k and d be two integers with $d \geq k \geq 2$ and G be a d -regular simple graph. If $q_2(G) < 2d - \frac{2(k-1)}{d+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

4 Eigenvalues and edge-disjoint spanning trees

In this section, we mainly investigate the relationship between the third largest (signless Laplacian) eigenvalue and edge-disjoint spanning trees in a simple graph G .

By Lemma 2.1, if $\tau(G) \leq k - 1$, then there exists an edge subset $X \subseteq E(G)$ such that $|X| \leq k(c(G - X) - 1) - 1$. Let $c(G - X) = s$ and $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_s$ be the components of $G - X$. For $1 \leq i \leq s$, let $V_i = V(G_i)$, $E_i = E(G_i)$, and $r_i = e(V_i, V \setminus V_i)$. Without loss of generality, we always assume that

$$r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_s. \quad (1)$$

With these notations and by $|X| \leq k(c(G - X) - 1) - 1$, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} e(V_i, V_j) \leq k(s - 1) - 1 = ks - k - 1. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 4.1. *For $k \geq 2$, $\delta \geq 4k - 1$, if $\lambda_3(G) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$. Then there exist no indices p and q with $1 \leq p \neq q \leq s$ such that $e(V_p, V_q) = 0$ and $1 \leq r_p, r_q \leq 2k - 1$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. By Lemma 2.6, we have $|V_p| \geq \delta + 1$ and $|V_q| \geq \delta + 1$. Let $V' = V \setminus (V_p \cup V_q)$, $r' = e(V', V \setminus V')$, then we have

$$r' = e(V', V_p) + e(V', V_q) \leq 2(2k - 1) = 4k - 2 \leq \delta - 1.$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have $|V'| > \delta + 1$. The adjacency quotient matrix of G with respect to the partition (V_p, V_q, V') is

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{d_p} - \frac{r_p}{|V_p|} & 0 & \frac{r_p}{|V_p|} \\ 0 & \overline{d_q} - \frac{r_q}{|V_q|} & \frac{r_q}{|V_q|} \\ \frac{r_p}{|V'|} & \frac{r_q}{|V'|} & \overline{d'} - \frac{r_p + r_q}{|V'|} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\overline{d_p}$ denotes the average degree of V_p in G , $\overline{d_q}$ denotes the average degree of V_q in G , and $\overline{d'}$ denotes the average degree of V' in G . By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lambda_1(A_3) \leq \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\}.$$

By $tr(A_3) = \lambda_1(A_3) + \lambda_2(A_3) + \lambda_3(A_3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(A_3) + \lambda_3(A_3) &= tr(A_3) - \lambda_1(A_3) \geq \overline{d_p} + \overline{d_q} + \overline{d'} - \frac{r_p}{|V_p|} - \frac{r_q}{|V_q|} - \frac{r_p + r_q}{|V'|} \\ &\quad - \max\{\overline{d_p}, \overline{d_q}, \overline{d'}\} \geq 2\delta - \frac{2(r_p + r_q)}{\delta + 1} \geq 2\delta - \frac{4(2k - 1)}{\delta + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $\lambda_3(A(G)) \geq \lambda_3(A_3)$. Therefore, we have

$$\lambda_3(A(G)) \geq \lambda_3(A_3) \geq 2\delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1} - \lambda_2(A_3) \geq 2\delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1} - \Delta,$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 4.1 that $\lambda_3(A(G)) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.2. *For $k \geq 2, \delta \geq 4k-1$, if $\lambda_3(G) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$. Then $r_i \geq k$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and assume that $r_i < k$ for some i . Then $\kappa'(G) < k$. By Theorem 3.1,

$$\lambda_3(G) \geq 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta+1} > 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1},$$

contrary to the assumption that $\lambda_3(G) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$. Therefore, we have $r_i \geq k$. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.3. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k-1$. If $\lambda_3(G) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. We shall argue by contradiction and assume that $\tau(G) \leq k-1$. By (2) with $k \geq 2$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^s r_i = 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} e(V_i, V_j) \leq 2ks - 2(k+1)$. Let x_l denote the multiplicity of l in $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s\}$ for $1 \leq l \leq 2k-1$. By Theorem 4.2, we have $r_s \geq \dots \geq r_2 \geq r_1 \geq k$. Thus $x_j = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. By (2), we have

$$kx_k + (k+1)x_{k+1} + \dots + (2k-1)x_{2k-1} + 2k(s - (x_k + x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{2k-1})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^s r_i \leq 2ks - 2(k+1).$$

which implies that

$$kx_k + (k-1)x_{k+1} + \dots + 2x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq 2(k+1).$$

Let h be the smallest index such that $x_h \neq 0$. Then we have

$$(2k-h)x_h + (2k-h-1)x_{h+1} + \dots + 2x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq 2(k+1). \quad (3)$$

Since $h \geq k$, we have $2(k+1) > 2(2k-h)$.

Case 1. $x_h \geq 2$.

Since $2(k+1) > 2(2k-h)$, there exists an integer $b \geq 3$ such that $(b-1)(2k-h) < 2(k+1) \leq b(2k-h)$. By $2(k+1) \leq b(2k-h)$, we have $h \leq \frac{(2b-2)k-2}{b}$. It follows by $(b-1)(2k-h) < 2(k+1)$ and (3) that $x_h + x_{h+1} + \dots + x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq b$, and so by (1), we have $2k-1 \geq r_b \geq \dots \geq r_2 \geq r_1$. By Lemma 2.6, we have $|V_i| \geq \delta+1$ with $1 \leq i \leq b$. Let $V' = V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$. Then

$$|V'| \geq |V_3| + \dots + |V_b| \geq (b-2)(\delta+1).$$

Let $y = e(V_1, V_2)$. By Theorem 4.1, Then we have $y \geq 1$. The adjacency quotient matrix of G with respect to the partition (V_p, V_q, V') is

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{d_1} - \frac{h}{|V_1|} & \frac{y}{|V_1|} & \frac{h-y}{|V_1|} \\ \frac{y}{|V_2|} & \overline{d_2} - \frac{h}{|V_2|} & \frac{h-y}{|V_2|} \\ \frac{h-y}{|V'|} & \frac{h-y}{|V'|} & \overline{d'} - \frac{2(h-y)}{|V'|} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\overline{d'}$ denotes the average degree of V' in G , and $\overline{d_i}$ denotes the average degree of V_i in G for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lambda_1(A_3) \leq \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\}.$$

By $tr(A_3) = \lambda_1(A_3) + \lambda_2(A_3) + \lambda_3(A_3)$ and Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(A_3) + \lambda_3(A_3) &= tr(A_3) - \lambda_1(A_3) \geq \overline{d_1} + \overline{d_2} + \overline{d'} - \frac{h}{|V_1|} - \frac{h}{|V_2|} - \frac{2(h-y)}{|V'|} - \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\} \\ &\geq 2\delta - \frac{2(\frac{b-1}{b-2}h - \frac{y}{b-2})}{\delta+1} \geq 2(\delta - \frac{\frac{2(b-1)^2}{b(b-2)}k - \frac{3b-2}{b(b-2)}}{\delta+1}) > 2(\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $\lambda_3(A(G)) \geq \lambda_3(A_3)$. Therefore, we have

$$\lambda_3(A(G)) \geq \lambda_3(A_3) \geq 2(\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}) - \lambda_2(A_3) \geq 2\delta - \frac{2(3k-1)}{\delta+1} - \Delta > 2\delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1} - \Delta,$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 4.3 that $\lambda_3(A(G)) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$.

Case 2. $x_h = 1$.

In this case, (3) becomes

$$(2k-h-1)x_{h+1} + \cdots + 2x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq 2(k+1) - (2k-h) = h+2 \geq k+2$$

. Let h' be the smallest index such that $x_{h'} > 0$ with $h' > h$. Then

$$(2k-h')x_{h'} + (2k-h'-1)x_{h'+1} + \cdots + 2x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq h+2 \geq k+2. \quad (4)$$

Since $h' \geq h \geq k$, we have $h'+2 > k$ and so $k+2 > 2k-h'$. Thus there exists an integer $b' \geq 2$ such that $(b'-1)(2k-h') < k+2 \leq b'(2k-h')$. By $k+2 \leq b'(2k-h')$, we have $h' \leq \frac{(2b'-1)k-2}{b'}$. It follows by $(b'-1)(2k-h') < k+2$ and (4) that $x_{h'} + x_{h'+1} + \cdots + x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq b'$, and so by (1), we have $2k-1 \geq r_{b'+1} \geq \cdots \geq r_2 \geq r_1$. By Lemma 2.6, $|V_i| \geq \delta+1$ with $1 \leq i \leq b'+1$. Let $V' = V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$. Then $|V'| \geq |V_3| + \cdots + |V_{b'+1}| \geq (b'-1)(\delta+1)$. Let $y = e(V_1, V_2)$. By Theorem 4.1, then we have $y \geq 1$. The adjacency quotient matrix of G with respect to the partition (V_p, V_q, V') is

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{d_1} - \frac{h}{|V_1|} & \frac{y}{|V_1|} & \frac{h-y}{|V_1|} \\ \frac{y}{|V_2|} & \overline{d_2} - \frac{h'}{|V_2|} & \frac{h'-y}{|V_2|} \\ \frac{h-y}{|V'|} & \frac{h'-y}{|V'|} & \overline{d'} - \frac{h'+h-2y}{|V'|} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\overline{d'}$ denotes the average degree of V' in G , and $\overline{d_i}$ denotes the average degree of V_i in G for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\lambda_1(A_3) \leq \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\}.$$

By $tr(A_3) = \lambda_1(A_3) + \lambda_2(A_3) + \lambda_3(A_3)$ and Lemma 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2(A_3) + \lambda_3(A_3) &= tr(A_3) - \lambda_1(A_3) \geq \overline{d_1} + \overline{d_2} + \overline{d'} - \frac{h}{|V_1|} - \frac{h'}{|V_2|} - \frac{h'+h-2y}{|V'|} \\ &\quad - \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\} \geq 2\delta - \frac{b'h + b'h' - 2y}{(\delta+1)(b'-1)} \geq 2\delta - \frac{2(b'h' - y)}{(\delta+1)(b'-1)} \\ &\geq 2(\delta - \frac{\frac{2b'-1}{(b'-1)}k - \frac{3}{(b'-1)}}{\delta+1}) > 2(\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $\lambda_3(A(G)) \geq \lambda_3(A_3)$. Therefore, we have

$$\lambda_3(A(G)) \geq \lambda_3(A_3) \geq 2(\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}) - \lambda_2(A_3) \geq 2\delta - \frac{2(3k-1)}{\delta+1} - \Delta > 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1},$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 4.3 that $\lambda_3(A(G)) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$. $\blacksquare$

Next, we will discuss the relationship between $q_3(G)$ and $\tau(G)$ of a simple graph G .

Corollary 4.4. *For $k \geq 2$, $\delta \geq 4k - 1$, if $q_3(G) < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$. Then there exist no indices p and q with $1 \leq p \neq q \leq s$ such that $e(V_p, V_q) = 0$ and $r_p, r_q \leq 2k - 1$.*

Proof. It follows by using a method similar to that used in Theorem 4.1. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 4.5. *For $k \geq 2$, $\delta \geq 4k - 1$, if $q_3(G) < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$. Then $r_i \geq k$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Proof. It follows by using a method similar to that used in Theorem 4.2. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.6. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a simple graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k - 1$, if $q_3(G) < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$. Then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. We shall prove by contradiction and assume that $\tau(G) \leq k - 1$. By (2) with $k \geq 2$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^s r_i = 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} e(V_i, V_j) \leq 2ks - 2(k+1)$. Let x_l denote the multiplicity of l in $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_s\}$ for $1 \leq l \leq 2k - 1$. By Corollary 4.5, $k \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_s$. Thus $x_j = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$. By (2), we have

$$kx_k + (k+1)x_{k+1} + \dots + (2k-1)x_{2k-1} + 2k(s - (x_k + x_{k+1} + \dots + x_{2k-1})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^s r_i \leq 2ks - 2(k+1).$$

which implies that

$$kx_k + (k-1)x_{k+1} + \dots + 2x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq 2(k+1).$$

Let h be the smallest index such that $x_h \neq 0$. Then we have

$$(2k-h)x_h + (2k-h-1)x_{h+1} + \dots + 2x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq 2(k+1). \quad (5)$$

Since $h \geq k$, we have $2(k+1) > 2(2k-h)$.

Case 1. $x_h \geq 2$.

Since $2(k+1) > 2(2k-h)$, there exists an integer $b \geq 3$ such that $(b-1)(2k-h) < 2(k+1) \leq b(2k-h)$. By $2(k+1) \leq b(2k-h)$, we have $h \leq \frac{(2b-2)k-2}{b}$. It follows by $(b-1)(2k-h) < 2(k+1)$ and by (5) that $x_h + x_{h+1} + \dots + x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq b$, and so by (1), we have $2k-1 \geq r_b \geq \dots \geq r_2 \geq r_1$. By Lemma 2.6, $|V_i| \geq \delta+1$ with $1 \leq i \leq b$. Let $V' = V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$. Then

$$|V'| \geq |V_3| + \dots + |V_b| \geq (b-2)(\delta+1).$$

Let $y = e(V_1, V_2)$. By Corollary 4.4, Then we have $y \geq 1$. The signless Laplacian quotient matrix of G with respect to the partition (V_p, V_q, V') is

$$Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\overline{d}_1 - \frac{h}{|V_1|} & \frac{y}{|V_1|} & \frac{h-y}{|V_1|} \\ \frac{y}{|V_2|} & 2\overline{d}_2 - \frac{h}{|V_2|} & \frac{h-y}{|V_2|} \\ \frac{h-y}{|V'|} & \frac{h-y}{|V'|} & 2\overline{d}' - \frac{2(h-y)}{|V'|} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\overline{d'}$ denotes the average degree of V' in G , and $\overline{d_i}$ denotes the average degree of V_i in G for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$q_1(Q_3) \leq 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\}.$$

By $tr(Q_3) = q_1(Q_3) + q_2(Q_3) + q_3(Q_3)$ and Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(Q_3) + q_3(Q_3) &= tr(Q_3) - q_1(Q_3) \geq 2\overline{d_1} + 2\overline{d_2} + 2\overline{d'} - \frac{h}{|V_1|} - \frac{h}{|V_2|} - \frac{2(h-y)}{|V'|} \\ &- 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\} \geq 4\delta - \frac{2(\frac{b-1}{b-2}h - \frac{y}{b-2})}{\delta+1} \geq 2(2\delta - \frac{\frac{2(b-1)^2}{b(b-2)}k - \frac{3b-2}{b(b-2)}}{\delta+1}) > 2(2\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $q_3(Q(G)) \geq q_3(Q_3)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_3(Q(G)) &\geq q_3(Q_3) > 2(2\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}) - q_2(Q_3) \geq 4\delta - \frac{2(3k-1)}{\delta+1} - 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\} \\ &> 4\delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1} - 2\Delta, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 4.6 that $q_3(Q(G)) < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$.

Case 2. $x_h = 1$.

In this case, (5) becomes

$$(2k - h - 1)x_{h+1} + \cdots + 2x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq 2(k+1) - (2k-h) = h+2 \geq k+2.$$

Let h' be the smallest index such that $x_{h'} > 0$ with $h' > h$. Then

$$(2k - h')x_{h'} + (2k - h' - 1)x_{h'+1} + \cdots + 2x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq h+2 \geq k+2. \quad (6)$$

Since $h' \geq h \geq k$, we have $h'+2 > k$ and so $k+2 > 2k-h'$. Thus there exists an integer $b' \geq 2$ such that $(b'-1)(2k-h') < k+2 \leq b'(2k-h')$. By $k+2 \leq b'(2k-h')$, we have $h' \leq \frac{(2b'-1)k-2}{b'}$. It follows by $(b'-1)(2k-h') < k+2$ and by (6) that $x_{h'} + x_{h'+1} + \cdots + x_{2k-2} + x_{2k-1} \geq b'$, and so by (1), we have $2k-1 \geq r_{b'+1} \geq \dots \geq r_2 \geq r_1$. By Lemma 2.6, $|V_i| \geq \delta+1$ with $1 \leq i \leq b'+1$. Let $V' = V \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$. Then $|V'| \geq |V_3| + \cdots + |V_{b'+1}| \geq (b'-1)(\delta+1)$. Let $y = e(V_1, V_2)$. By Corollary 4.4, Then we have $y \geq 1$. The signless Laplacian quotient matrix of G with respect to the partition (V_p, V_q, V') is

$$Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2\overline{d_1} - \frac{h}{|V_1|} & \frac{y}{|V_1|} & \frac{h-y}{|V_1|} \\ \frac{y}{|V_2|} & 2\overline{d_2} - \frac{h'}{|V_2|} & \frac{h'-y}{|V_2|} \\ \frac{h-y}{|V'|} & \frac{h'-y}{|V'|} & 2\overline{d'} - \frac{h'+h-2y}{|V'|} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\overline{d'}$ denotes the average degree of V' in G , and $\overline{d_i}$ denotes the average degree of V_i in G for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$q_1(Q_3) \leq 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\}.$$

By $tr(Q_3) = q_1(Q_3) + q_2(Q_3) + q_3(Q_3)$ and Lemma 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(Q_3) + q_3(Q_3) &= tr(Q_3) - q_1(Q_3) \geq 2\overline{d_1} + 2\overline{d_2} + 2\overline{d'} - \frac{h}{|V_1|} - \frac{h'}{|V_2|} - \frac{h'+h-2y}{|V'|} \\ &- 2 \max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\} \geq 4\delta - \frac{b'h + b'h' - 2y}{(\delta+1)(b'-1)} \geq 4\delta - \frac{2(b'h' - y)}{(\delta+1)(b'-1)} \\ &\geq 2(2\delta - \frac{k\frac{2b'-1}{(b'-1)} - \frac{3}{(b'-1)}}{\delta+1}) > 2(2\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have $q_3(Q(G)) \geq q_3(Q_3)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_3(Q(G)) &\geq q_3(Q_3) \geq 2(2\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}) - q_2(Q_3) \geq 4\delta - \frac{2(3k-1)}{\delta+1} - 2\max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\} \\ &> 4\delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1} - 2\Delta, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 4.6 that $q_3(Q(G)) < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$. This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 4.7. *For $k \geq 2$, and $d \geq 4k - 1$. If G is a d -regular simple graph with $q_3(G) < 2d - \frac{4(2k-1)}{d+1}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*

Theorem 4.8. *([6]) For $k \geq 2$, $\delta \geq 2k$, if $\lambda_2(G) < \delta - \frac{2k-1}{\delta+1}$. Then there exist no indices p and q with $1 \leq p \neq q \leq s$ such that $e(V_p, V_q) = 0$ and $r_p, r_q \leq 2k - 1$.*

Corollary 4.9. *For $k \geq 2$, $\delta \geq 2k$, if $q_2(G) < 2\delta - \frac{2k-1}{\delta+1}$. Then there exist no indices p and q with $1 \leq p \neq q \leq s$ such that $e(V_p, V_q) = 0$ and $r_p, r_q \leq 2k - 1$.*

Proof. It follows by Lemma 2.9 and Theorem 4.8. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 4.10. *([6]) For $k \geq 2$, $\delta \geq 2k$, if $\lambda_2(G) < \delta - \frac{2k-1}{\delta+1}$. Then $r_i \geq k$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Corollary 4.11. *For $k \geq 2$, if $\delta \geq 2k$ and $q_2(G) < 2\delta - \frac{2k-1}{\delta+1}$. Then $r_i \geq k$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Proof. It follows by Lemma 2.9 and Theorem 4.10. $\blacksquare$

The following Theorem 4.12 was given in Gu et al. [6]. However, we prove this result by using the signless Laplacian quotient matrix.

Theorem 4.12. *([6]) Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a simple graph with minimum degree $\delta \geq 2k$. If $q_2(G) < 2\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. Use the similar arguments as that used in Theorem 4.6. By Theorems 4.9 and 4.11, we know that the following inequality.

Case 1. $x_h \geq 2$.

In this case, we have

$$2q_2(Q(G)) \geq q_2(Q_3) + q_3(Q_3) > 4\delta - \frac{2(3k-1)}{\delta+1},$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 4.12 that $q_2(Q(G)) < 2\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}$.

Case 2. $x_h = 1$.

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2q_2(Q(G)) &\geq q_2(Q_3) + q_3(Q_3) \geq 2(\overline{d_1} + \overline{d_2} + \overline{d'}) - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1} - q_1(Q_3) \geq 2\overline{d_1} + 2\overline{d_2} + 2\overline{d'} \\ &\quad - \frac{2(3k-1)}{\delta+1} - 2\max\{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}, \overline{d'}\} > 4\delta - \frac{2(3k-1)}{\delta+1}, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to the assumption in Theorem 4.12 that $q_2(Q(G)) < 2\delta - \frac{3k-1}{\delta+1}$. This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

By Theorem 4.12, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.13. *For $k \geq 2$, and $d \geq 2k$. If G is a d -regular simple graph with $q_2(G) < 2d - \frac{3k-1}{d+1}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*

5 Other eigenvalue conditions

In this section, we will investigate the relationship between other eigenvalues and $\tau(G)$, $\kappa'(G)$ in a simple graph G . In additional, we know that Gu et al. in [6] give the relationship between μ_{n-1} , λ_2 and $\tau(G)$, $\kappa'(G)$ of a simple graph G .

Theorem 5.1. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$, G be a simple graph with minimum degree δ with $\delta \geq k$.*

- (i) *If $\mu_{n-2}(G) > 2\Delta - 2\delta + \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*
- (ii) *If $q_3(G) < 3\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, we have $\mu_{n-2} \leq \Delta - \lambda_3$, $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$. It follows by Theorem 3.1. ■

Theorem 5.2. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a simple graph with minimum degree δ with $\delta \geq 4k - 1$.*

- (i) *If $\mu_{n-2}(G) > 2\Delta - 2\delta + \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*
- (ii) *If $q_3(G) < 3\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, we have $\mu_{n-2} \leq \Delta - \lambda_3$, $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$. It follows by Theorem 4.3. ■

Theorem 5.3. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$, G be a simple graph with minimum degree δ with $\delta \geq k$.*

- (i) *If $\mu_{n-2}(G) > 3\Delta - 3\delta + \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*
- (ii) *If $\lambda_3(G) < 3\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, we have $\mu_{n-2} \leq \Delta - \lambda_3$, $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$. It follows by Theorem 3.3. ■

Theorem 5.4. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a simple graph with minimum degree δ with $\delta \geq 4k - 1$.*

- (i) *If $\mu_{n-2}(G) > 3\Delta - 3\delta + \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*
- (ii) *If $\lambda_3(G) < 3\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, we have $\mu_{n-2} \leq \Delta - \lambda_3$, $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$. It follows by Theorem 4.6. ■

6 Spectral conditions for edge connectivity and edge-disjoint spanning trees in multigraphs

In this paper, we investigate $\tau(G)$ and $\kappa'(G)$ of multigraphs from adjacency spectral and signless Laplacian spectral perspective. And we study the Laplacian eigenvalue conditions on $\tau(G)$ and $\kappa'(G)$. In additional, we know that Gu in [8] give the relationship between μ_{n-1} , λ_2 and $\tau(G)$, $\kappa'(G)$ of a multigraph G .

Theorem 6.1. *Let G be a connected multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta > m$, and U be a non-empty proper subset of $V(G)$. If $e(U, V \setminus U) \leq \delta - 1$, then $|U| \geq \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.7, we have $|U| \geq \max\{\lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil, 2\}$. Since $\delta > m$, we have $\lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil \geq 2$. Therefore, $|U| \geq \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$. ■

Theorem 6.2. *Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$. If $\lambda_3(G) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{l}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. Using the similar method as that used in Theorem 3.1, it follows by Theorem 6.1. ■

Theorem 6.3. For Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k - 1$ for $k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$. If $\lambda_3(G) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then there exist no indices p and q with $1 \leq p \neq q \leq s$ such that $e(V_p, V_q) = 0$ and $1 \leq r_p, r_q \leq 2k - 1$.

Proof. Using the similar method as that used in Theorem 4.1, it follows by Theorem 6.1. ■

Theorem 6.4. Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k - 1$ for $k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$. If $\lambda_3(G) < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{2(4k-1)}{\delta+1}$, then $r_i \geq k$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Proof. Using the similar method as that used in Theorem 4.2, it follows by Theorem 6.2. ■

Theorem 6.5. Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k - 1$ for $k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$. If $\lambda_3 < 2\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{l}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.

Proof. Using the similar method as that used in Theorem 4.3, it follows by Theorem 6.1. ■

Corollary 6.6. Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq k$ for $k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$.

(i) If $\mu_{n-2}(G) > 2\Delta - 2\delta + \frac{4(k-1)}{l}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.

(ii) If $q_3(G) < 3\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{l}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.10, we have $\mu_{n-2} \leq \Delta - \lambda_3$, $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$. It follows by Theorem 6.2. ■

Corollary 6.7. Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k - 1$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$.

(i) If $\mu_{n-2}(G) > 2\Delta - 2\delta + \frac{4(2k-1)}{l}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.

(ii) If $q_3(G) < 3\delta - \Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{l}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.10, we have $\mu_{n-2} \leq \Delta - \lambda_3$, $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$. It follows by Theorem 6.5. ■

Theorem 6.8. Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$. If $q_3(G) < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{l}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.

Proof. Using the similar method as that used in Theorem 3.3, it follows by Theorem 6.1. ■

Theorem 6.9. For Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k - 1$ for $k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$. If $q_3 < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{l}$, then there exist no indices p and q with $1 \leq p \neq q \leq s$ such that $e(V_p, V_q) = 0$ and $1 \leq r_p, r_q \leq 2k - 1$.

Proof. Using the similar method as that used in Corollary 4.4, it follows by Theorem 6.1. ■

Theorem 6.10. Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k - 1$ for $k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$. If $q_3 < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{l}$, then $r_i \geq k$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Proof. Using the similar method as that used in Corollary 4.5, it follows by Theorem 6.1. ■

Theorem 6.11. Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k - 1$ for $k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$. If $q_3 < 4\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{l}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.

Proof. Using the similar method as that used in Theorem 4.6, it follows by Theorem 6.1. ■

Corollary 6.12. *Let G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq k$ for $k \geq 2$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$.*

- (i) *If $\mu_{n-2}(G) > 3\Delta - 3\delta + \frac{4(k-1)}{l}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*
- (ii) *If $\lambda_3(G) < 3\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(k-1)}{l}$, then $\kappa'(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.10, we have $\mu_{n-2} \leq \Delta - \lambda_3$, $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$. It follows by Theorem 6.8. ■

Corollary 6.13. *Let k be an integer with $k \geq 2$ and G be a multigraph with multiplicity m and minimum degree $\delta \geq 4k - 1$. Let $\delta > m$ and $l = \lceil \frac{\delta+1}{m} \rceil$.*

- (i) *If $\mu_{n-2}(G) > 3\Delta - 3\delta + \frac{4(2k-1)}{l}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*
- (ii) *If $\lambda_3(G) < 3\delta - 2\Delta - \frac{4(2k-1)}{l}$, then $\tau(G) \geq k$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.10, we have $\mu_{n-2} \leq \Delta - \lambda_3$, $\delta + \lambda_3 \leq q_3$. It follows by Theorem 6.11. ■

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph theory, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, Spectra of graphs, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2012. Available from: <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/2WF02/spectra.pdf>.
- [3] S.M. Cioabă, Eigenvalues and edge-connectivity of regular graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010) 458–470.
- [4] S.M. Cioabă, W. Wong, Edge-disjoint spanning trees and eigenvalues of regular graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012) 630–647.
- [5] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. Simić, An introduction to the theory of graph spectra, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [6] Xiaofeng Gu, Hong-Jian Lai, Ping Li, Senmei Yao, Edge-disjoint spanning trees, edge connectivity, and eigenvalues in graphs, J. Graph Theory, 81 (2016) 16–29.
- [7] C. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebra graph theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [8] Xiaofeng Gu, Spectral conditions for edge connectivity and packing spanning trees in multigraphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 493 (2016) 82–90.
- [9] Xiaofeng Gu, Connectivity and spanning trees of graphs, PhD Dissertation, West Virginia University, 2013.
- [10] W.H. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 226–228 (1995) 593–616.
- [11] Bolian Liu, Bo Zhou, On the third largest eigenvalue of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 317 (2000) 193–200.
- [12] Qinghai Liu, Yanmei Hong, Hong-Jian Lai, Edge-disjoint spanning trees and eigenvalues. Linear Algebra Appl. 444 (2014) 146–151.

- [13] Qinghai Liu, Yanmei Hong, Xiaofeng Gu, Hong-Jian Lai, Note on edge-disjoint spanning trees and eigenvalues. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 458 (2014) 128–133.
- [14] Guojun Li, Lingsheng Shi, Edge-disjoint spanning trees and eigenvalues of graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 439 (2013) 2784–2789.
- [15] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1961) 445–450.
- [16] M.R. Oboudi, On the third largest eigenvalue of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 503 (2016) 164–179.
- [17] G. Strang, *Introduction to Linear Algebra*, 4th edn., Wellesley-Cambridge Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [18] W.T. Tutte, On the problem of decomposing a graph into n factors, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1961), 221–230.
- [19] H. Weyl, Das asymptotische verteilungsgesetz der eigenwerte linearer partieller differentialgleichungen. *Math. Ann.* 71 (1912) 441–479.
- [20] W. Wong, Spanning trees, toughness, and eigenvalues of regular graphs, PhD Dissertation, University of Delaware, 2013, available at <http://pqdtopen.proquest.com/doc/1443835286.html?FMT=ABS>.